



KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA

COURSE REPORT (CR)

Ramadan 1438 H, June 2017

Institution: Academic Department : Programme: Course title and code: Report Approved Date : Majmaah University Civil and Environmental Engineering Civil Engineering Photogrammetry – CE 474. 22/04 / 1439 H

A separate Course Report (CR) should be submitted for every course and for each section or campus location where the course is taught, even if the course is taught by the same person. Each CR is to be completed by the course instructor at the end of each course and given to the program coordinator A combined, comprehensive CR should be prepared by the course coordinator and the separate location reports are to be attached



This form compatible with Education Evaluation Commission (EEC) & NCAAA



Course Report

| Institutio | tion Majmaah University | | | | Date of C | CR: 07/01/2018 | 3 | |
|------------------|---|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|---------|--|
| College/ I | College/ Department: Engineering – Civil and Environmental Engineering | | | | | | | |
| A Course | Identific | ation and Ge | neral Informa | ation | | | | |
| 1. Course | 1. Course title: PhotogrammetryCode # CE 474Section # 528 | | | | | | | |
| 2. Name o | of course i | nstructor: Dr. | Sameh S Ahr | ned | | Location: M | lajmaah | |
| 3. Year an | nd semeste | er to which this | s report applie | S: 017 19 E | | | | |
| | | | 2 | V1/-10 ľ | | | | |
| 4. Number | 4. Number of students starting the course? 6 Students completing the course? 6 | | | | | | | |
| 5. Course | 5. Course components (actual total contact hours and credits per semester): 3[3,1,0] | | | | | | | |
| Lecture Tutorial | | | | Laboratory/ Studio | Practical | Other | Total | |
| Contact | Planed | 45 | 15 | - | | | 60 | |
| Hours | Actual | 43 | 15 | - | | | 58 | |
| Credit | Planed | 3 | 1 | 0 | | | 3 | |
| Creuit | Actual | 3 | 1 | 0 | | | 3 | |



B- Course Delivery

| 1. Coverage of Planned Program | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Topics Covered | Planned Contact Hours | Actual Contact Hours | Reason for Variations if there is a difference of more than 25% of the hours planned | | | |
| History of photogrammetry | 4 | 4 | | | | |
| Aerial cameras and Camera calibration | 8 | 8 | | | | |
| Geometry of the aerial photograph: Principles points - Ground points - Control points - Central Projection - Interior and Exterior Orientation - Image Capture Practicalities | 8 | 8 | | | | |
| Stereoscopy and stereoscopes | 4 | 4 | | | | |
| Parallax theory and techniques of plotter orientation | 4 | 4 | | | | |
| Extraction of engineering information from single aerial photo | 4 | 4 | | | | |
| Extraction of engineering information from two aerial photos | 8 | 8 | | | | |
| Least squares, preparation and measuring of coordinates from aerial photos | 4 | 2 | Principles given but application not completed due time | | | |
| Planning a photogrammetric | 4 | 4 | | | | |
| Midterm-II | - | - | | | | |
| Project #2, Cont. | 4 | 4 | | | | |
| Applications using computer software's | 8 | 8 | | | | |
| Total | 60 | 58 | | | | |

ര്തരംബിര്ഷരിര



2. Consequences of Non Coverage of Topics

For any topics where the topic was not taught or practically delivered, comment on how significant you believe the lack of coverage is for the course learning outcomes or for later courses in the program. Suggest possible compensating action.

| Topics (if any) not Fully Covered | Effected Learning Outcomes | Possible Compensating Action | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Least squares | No much effect, 2.5% | Will be covered Remote Sensing | |

3. Course learning outcome assessment.

| | List course learning | List methods of assessment for each LO | Summary analysis of assessment results for each LO |
|-----|--|---|---|
| 1.0 | Knowledge | | |
| 1.1 | The students will be able to know the history of developing the subject of photogrammetric surveying. | Regularly asking questions on different topics and concepts. Midterm and End-semester examinations that will force the | Average = 2.17/3 |
| 1.2 | The students will be able to write about different cameras used in photogrammetric surveying | student to think and apply the knowledge. • Reports and discussions. | |
| 1.3 | The students will be able to tell types of aerial photos | | |
| 1.4 | The students will be able to recognize the use of photogrammetric surveying. | | |
| 1.5 | The students will be able to describe digital mapping process. | | |
| 2.0 | Cognitive Skills | | |
| 2.1 | The students will be able to calculate, design and measure distances and areas from aerial photographs | Asking the student to solve the problems on white board guiding him when required. Asking students to participate in oral discussion during the class. Assignment and mini project • Questions in Quiz, Midterm and End exam. | Average = 2.00/3 |
| 2.2 | The students will be able to estimate and calculate the height of objects from aerial photographs | | Average = 2.60/3 |

| 2.3 | The students will be able to prepare the stereoscopes vision for a pair of photographs and conduct necessary measurements | | Average = 1.67/3 |
|-----|--|---|--|
| 2.4 | The students will be able to Explain Parallax theory and techniques of plotter orientation | | Average = 2.5/3 |
| 2.5 | The students will be able to extraction of engineering information from single and two aerial photo and analyse the data | | Average = 2.67/3 |
| 2.6 | The students will be able to plan a photogrammetric project and to calculate number of required photos and films for the task | | Average = 2.67/3 |
| 3.0 | Interpersonal Skills & Respo | nsibility | |
| 3.1 | The students will be able to demonstrate their teamwork and leadership skills through functioning in groups during field measurements and calculations | Group work in laboratory work and team activity. • Bonus marks to those who are improving and participating effectively in the class. | Average = 2.67/3 |
| 4.0 | Communication, Information | r Technology, Numerical | |
| 4.1 | The students will be able to communicate effectively and engage in long life learning | Reports and seminars | Evaluation of student ability to report and present facts |
| 5.0 | Psychomotor | | |
| 5.1 | NA | | |

Note: In order to analyze the assessment of student achievement for each course learning outcome, student performance results can be measured and assessed using a KPI, a rubric, or some grading system that aligns student work, exam scores, or other demonstration of successful learning.



Summarize any actions you recommend for improving teaching strategies as a result of evaluations in table 3 above.

Enhance the following points in the teaching strategies of the course:

- Asking the student to solve the problems on white board guiding him when required.
- Setting assignment problems or mini project which will apply principles and concepts.
- Use of computer for solving some practical problems via ARC/GIS software.

 Effectiveness of used Teaching Strategies for Learning Outcomes set out in the Course Specification. (Refer to planned teaching strategies in Course Specification and description of Domains of Learning Outcomes in the National Qualifications Framework)

| Ι | ist Teaching Strategies set out in | Were Effec | They tive? | Difficulties Experienced (if any) in Using the Strategy and Suggested | | |
|---|---|---------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| | Course Specification | No | Yes | Action to Deal with Those Difficulties. | | |
| - | Course delivery by citing real life examples and problems. Emphasis on understanding concepts and illustrating applications to problems. Conduct field measurements and creates maps for an urban area. Revise some principles and rule in Algebra and integration. Placing before the class mind- provoking and thinking questions. | | Y | - There is no sufficient time to do all the planned actions. | | |
| - | Solving surveying problems through assignments on each topic. Explaining principles and concepts through real life problems. Asking the students to suggest a solution before giving them the correct answer. Asking the students to explain the steps adopted in the problem and ensures that they understand the problem. Asking searching questions on topic fundamentals. Setting M-1 and M-2 + quizzes and mini projects so that students can apply the knowledge gained. | | Y | There is a need to ensure that the students are doing their assignments by themselves and they do not copy form each other. | | |





| - | Different access to the student to be close with the teacher using, email, website and even phone calls in urgent. Asking the students to express his opinion on a particular topic. Divided the students into small groups during mini project and re- arranging the groups. | Y | |
|---|---|---|--|
| - | Make the class attractive and full of activations by raising questions and discussions that requires straight thinking and also reverse thinking. Questioning the students on solving the problem in a reverse manner. | Y | |

C. Results



Course Learning Outcomes



| Course | CE474 | Photogra | ammetric | Engineeri | ng | | | | Instructor | Dr. Samel | h S Ahme | ed | |
|--------|---|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------|-------------|------------|-----------|----------|------------------|---------|
| | No. of SOs: | 7 | | Section: 8 | 528 | | | | | | | | |
| Domain | SO | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ge | Students | 6 | | | | | | | Weighted | | PROGRESS OF KPIs | |
| | arg | | (%) 17 | | | | | | | Average | | | |
| | w le | • (| (%) 50 | | | | | | | | | 2.17 | |
| | iei (j | Salafaalary (| (%) 33 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | S S | KPI(3) | 2.17 | | | | | | | 2.17 | 2.17 | | |
| a. | d s | | | | | | | | | (72.2%) | | | |
| | ig after | 35 | 1 | 1 | | | ••) | | • • | | | | |
| | erit e | | | (| | | | | | | | | |
| | lig af pil | | | | | | | | | | | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | 50 | | | | | | | | would) | | |
| | ₹00 | | - | | 1. | | | | | | KPI(3) | | |
| | E T | Students | 6 | Students | 6 | Students | 6 | 7 | | Weighted | | PROGRESS OF KPIS | |
| - | D e v | | (%) 33 | (| %) U | | (%) 6 | | | Average | | | |
| - | e's | Braragang (| (%) 33 | Proropog (| %) 33 () C7 | B | (%) U | , I | | | | 2.67 2.11 | |
| | | Salafaalara (| (%) 33 | Salafaslarg (| %) 67 | Salafaalara | (%) 3 | 7 | | 2.44 | 2.00 | | |
| b. | and | KPI(0) | 2.00 | $\operatorname{KPI}(T)$ | 2.07 | KPI(0) | 1.0 | | | (70 49/) | 2.00 | 1.67 | |
| | de de | | | | | | | | | (70.4%) | | | |
| | e s s s | 55 | 22 | | 55 | 33 | | | | | | | |
| | ai p ii | | | | | | / | | | | | | 0.00 |
| | 별 은 걸 해 | | | 67 | | | 67 | | | | | | |
| | A S S S S | | 55 | | | 0 | | | | | KPI(6) | KPI(7) KPI(8) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - C | a | 6 | | 6 | | | | | Weighted | | PROGRESS OF KRIS | |
| | E c s E | Students | 22 | Students | 0 50 | | | | | Average | | PROGRESS OF REIS | |
| | a p fa c ta c ta | | %) <u>55</u> | | () JU | | | | | Average | | | |
| | a sy with a sy turn to turn | Brarapag (7 | x) 67 | P (7 | () 17 | | | | | | | 2.08 | |
| | , fat his sign | KDI(0) | 233 | KDI(11) | 1.83 | | | | | 2.02 | 2.33 | | |
| с. | int, in a signation of the signature of | KFI(3) | 2.00 | | 1.00 | | | | | (60.4%) | | 1.83 | |
| | ab a strand | | | | | | | | | (03.470) | | | |
| | tair, eit constructo | | 55 | 55 | | | | | | | | | |
| | tic e pite d'entre | | | | 50 | | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | d a sister part | 67 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | a a see se se | | | | | | | | | | KPI(9) | KPI(11) | |
| | - | Students | 6 | Students | 6 | Students | 6 | Students | 6 | Weighted | | PROGRESS OF KPIs | |
| | ate | ••••••• | %) 17 | •••••• (9 | 6) 17 | • | (%) 17 | | (%) 50 | Average | | | |
| | an a | • (? | %) 17 | • (9 | 6) 0 | By1 | (%) 0 | B | (%) 50 | | 2 50 | 2.67 2.33 | |
| | 등 등 🔤 | Salafaalary (% | %) 67 | Salafaalary (9 | 6) 83 | Salafaalary | (%) 83 | Salafaalarg | (%) 0 | | 2.50 | | |
| • | - ee | KPI(17) | 2.50 | KPI(18) | 2.67 | KPI(19) | 2.6 | 7 KPI(20) | 1.50 | 2.33 | | | |
| е. | gi tit | | | | 17 0 | | | | | (77.8%) | | | 1.50 |
| | e | / | | | | | 17 | | | | | | |
| | ms to | | 17 | | | | | 50 | 50 | | | | |
| | be ts | 67 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Abil | | | 85 | | 85 | | | | | KPI(17) | KPI(18) KPI(19) | KPI(20) |



| Letter Grade | Number of Students | Student Percentage | Analysis of Distribution of Grades |
|---|--|--|--|
| \mathbf{A}^+ | 0 | 0% | |
| Α | 0 | 0% | Grades Distribution |
| \mathbf{B}^+ | 1 | 16.7% | <u></u> |
| В | 0 | 0% | |
| C ⁺ | 2 | 33.3% | 3 |
| С | 3 | 50.0% | |
| \mathbf{D}^+ | 0 | 0% | |
| D | 0 | 0% | 2 |
| F | | | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 A+ A B+ B C+ C D+ D F |
| eniedEntry | 0 | 0% | |
| n Progress | 6 | 100% | |
| Incomplete | 0 | 0% | |
| Pass | 6 | 100% | |
| Fail | 0 | 0% | |
| withdrawn | U | 0% | • |
| Analyze speci No outst Highest Two stude | al factors (if any anding student in grade was B+ for a ents got C+ (33.3 | y) affecting the re this group one student %) matching the ov | sults erall average of this group |

| 3. Variations from planned student assessment processes (if any) (see Course Specifications). | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Variations (if any) from planned assessment schedule (see Course Specifications) | | | |
| Variation | Reason | | |
| Only one student was very good | Nature of the group | | |

4.Student Grade Achievement Verification (eg. cross-check of grade validity by independent evaluator).

| Method(s) | of V | erifica | tion |
|-----------|------|---------|------|
|-----------|------|---------|------|

Conclusion

| All final papers are revised and checked by other faculty member. | Level of fairness in correction is fairly high. |
|---|---|
| Overall results are discussed with the head of | Results were logic considering the overall |
| department and vice Dean. | performance and nature of this group |

D Resources and Facilities

| 1. Difficulties in access to resources or facilities (if any) | 2. Consequences of any difficulties experienced for student learning in the course, and proposed action to | |
|---|--|--|
| For the second time in raw, There are no aerial photographs available in the surveying lab yet. | overcome it. Most students do not read sufficient texts and reference books. Getting 2 pocket stereoscopic instruments Students did not pay sufficient care for reports | |

E. Administrative Issues

| 1. Organizational or administrative difficulties encountered (if any) | 2. Consequences of any difficulties experienced for student learning in the course, and proposed action to overcome it. |
|---|---|
| No real problem in this course | |

F Course Evaluation

| 1. Student evaluation of the course (Attach summary of survey results) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| a. List the most important recommendations for improvement and strengths Explain the basics of Math needed for calculations before going deeply in the topic. | | | |
| b. Response of instructor or course team to this evaluation | | | |
| Satisfy. | | | |
| 2. Other Evaluation (eg. by head of department, peer observations, accreditation review, other stakeholders) | | | |
| a. List the most important recommendations for improvement and strengths | | | |
| Give more practical sessions for stereoscopic exercises | | | |
| b. Response of instructor or course team to this evaluation | | | |
| Cannot be judged before getting the photographs and do exercises | | | |

G Planning for Improvement

| 1. Progress on actions proposed for improving the course in previous course reports (if any). | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|--|-----------------|
| A fi | ctions recommended com the most recent course report(s) | Actions Taken | Action Results | Action Analysis |
| a) | More time for exercises solve real | Done | Improvement in student skills for calculations and | |



| | problems | | understanding the results | |
|----|---|------|--|--|
| b) | Ask students to complete solving some problems to the end during the class using calculators and Computer during exercise session. | Done | Overall results remains almost constant | |

2. List what other actions have been taken to improve the course (based on previous CR, surveys, independent opinion, or course evaluation).

- Force the students to use reference books.
- Insist to submit the homework on time.
- All exercises must be solved.

| 3. Action Plan for Next Semester/Year | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| Actions Recommended for Further Improvement | | Intended Action Points (should be measurable) | Person Responsible | |
| a) | More exercises | More time for exercises in using photographs measurement to solve real problems | Instructor | |
| b) | Student participation | Ask students to complete solving some problems to the end during the class using calculators and Computer during lab session. | Instructor | |
| c) | Motivation | Encourage the students to anticipate questions on each topic | Instructor | |
| d) | Field work | Allow the students to participate in senior surveying project to get more experience. | Instructor | |

Name of Course Instructor: Dr. Sameh S Ahmed

Signature: SaMeH

Date Report Completed: 07/01/2018

Program Coordinator: Dr. Abdullah Alshehri

Signature: Alshehrí

Date Received: 09/01/2018

