|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Program Name:** | **Date:** |
| **Student Name:** | **University ID:** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Rating Scale and Explanations** | **Score** |
| **(1-3) Unacceptable** |  **(4-6) Poor** |  **(7-10) Average** | **(11-13) Very Good** | **(14-15)Exceptional** |  |
| **Knowledge of the** | Error(s) in exposition | Minor errors, | Adequate and | Good coverage and | Thorough review and |  |
| **discipline** | of the field and/or | omissions, and/or | accurate | synthesis of key | excellent synthesis of |
| omission of key source(s) | lack of synthesis | exposition ofkey sources | sources plusadditional relevant | sources, includingsome obscure but |
|  |  |  |  | materialAdapted from University of Miami | relevant ones |
| **Appropriate** | Errors in | Minor methodological | Methodology applied | Methodology applied | Mastery of finer |  |
| **methodology** | methodologyselection and/or use | errors and/oromissions | correctly andadequately; | correctly, explainedclearly, and | pointsof methodology plus |
|  |  |  | appropriate | documented well | elegant application |
|  |  |  | documentation |  | and/or supplementary |
| **Application of** | Discipline and | Some links to | Adequate connection | Clear exposition of | Insightful references |  |
| **Knowledge and** | methodology not | discipline | between knowledge | relationship of | to |
| **Methodology to** | referenced/applied | knowledge and | of discipline and | disciplinary | sources and |
| **original research** | well | methodology but not | use of methodology | knowledge and | application of |
| **topic** |  | clearly integrated with | and research | methodology to | methodology to |
| **Critical thinking** | Muddledpresentation | Reasoningsometimes | Adequate reasoning,explanation of | Clear reasoning withorganized | Clear and organizedargument that |  |
|  | with errors in | confused, simplistic, | assumptions, and | presentation of | represents sound, |
|  | reasoning and/or | and/or not clearly | supporting | evidence, | original, and |
|  | without much | explained | evidence | assumptions, and | complex thought |
| **Effective written** | Writing generally | Writing sometimes | Writing clear, | Writing generally | Elegant writing with |  |
| **communication** | unclear, withconsistent errors | unclear withweak | concise,and organized, with | error-free with clear | fully developedarguments, clear |
|  | and/or poor | organization | minor or no | organization and | organization, and |
|  | organization | and/or | grammatical errors | depth | correct grammar |
| **Effective oral** | Presentation | Presentation | Presentation | Articulate | Elegant, confident, |  |
| **communication** | generally | sometimes | organized | presentation | and |
|  | unclear, with poor | unclear, with | to convey main | with clear | engaging presentation |
|  | organization | weak | points of | organization and | with clear |
|  | and/or marred by | organization, | thesis/dissertation | professional language | organization and flow |
|  | distracting | and/or some | clearly and without |  |  |
| **Time Management(4 points if adhered)** |  |  |
| **Submitted to a journal( 6 points if submitted)**  |  |  |

Comments: Total Score:

Committee member name: signature: