

# Annual Program Report (Postgraduate Degree)

| Program Name:               | Master of Science in Physical Therapy    |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Qualification Level:</b> | Level 7                                  |
| Department:                 | Physical Therapy & Health Rehabilitation |
| College:                    | College of Applied Medical Sciences      |
| <b>Institution:</b>         | Majmaah University                       |
| Academic Year:              | 2021 - 22                                |
| Main Location:              | Building number: 5, Majmaah University   |
| Branches offering the       | None                                     |
| Program:                    |  |











# **Table of Contents**

| A. Implementation of Previous Action Plan                               |    |
|---|----|
| B. Program Statistics   |    |
|   |    |
| 2.Analysis of Program Statistics  |    |
| C. Program Learning Outcomes Assessment                                 |    |
| 1. Program Learning Outcomes Assessment Results.                        |    |
| 2. Analysis of Program Learning Outcomes Assessment                     | 6  |
| D. Summary of Course Reports  |    |
| 2. Courses with Variations  | 7  |
| 3. Analysis of Course Reports' Results                                  | 12 |
| E. Program Activities   | 12 |
| 1. Student Counseling and Support                                       |    |
| 2. Professional Development Activities for Faculty and Supporting Staff | 12 |
| 3. Scientific Research, Innovations and Inventions                      | 13 |
| 4. Analysis of Program Activities                                       | 16 |
| F. Program Evaluation   | 16 |
| 1. Evaluation of Courses  |    |
| 2. Evaluation of Scientific Supervision                                 | 17 |
| 3. Students Evaluation of Program Quality                               | 18 |
| 4. Other Evaluations (if any)   | 19 |
| 5. Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)                                    | 19 |
| 6. Analysis of Program Evaluation                                       | 22 |
| G. Difficulties and Challenges Faced Program Management                 | 22 |
| H. Program Improvement Plan   |    |
| I. Report Approving Authority   |    |
| I. Attachments:   | 25 |

# **A. Implementation of Previous Action Plan**

Considering the recommendations of the previous year annual report, list the planned actions and their status.

| Priorities for  | Planned Actions Responsibility  | Responsibility                       | Planned<br>Completion | Leve<br>Compl     |                  | If Not Completed |                     |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Improvement   | Fianned Actions   | of Action                            | Date                  | Completed         | Not<br>Completed | Reasons          | Proposed<br>Actions |
| Revision of<br>Course<br>Learning<br>outcomes   | The learning outcomes was edited in a more appropriate way to match with the Domains  | Course<br>coordinator/I<br>nstructor | 03/07/202             | Com<br>plete<br>d |                  |                  |                     |
| Revision of course contents   | The course specification was revised with introduction to more specific and advanced topics in some subjects like in Biomechanics       | Course<br>coordinator/I<br>nstructor | 03/07/202             | com<br>plete<br>d |                  |                  |                     |
| Preparation of<br>activity-based<br>case studies for<br>effective<br>learning of the<br>students            | Various types of specific case studies pertaining to related subject was prepared by course Instructor  Injury assessment and treatment | Course<br>coordinator/I<br>nstructor | 03/07/202             | Com<br>plete<br>d |                  |                  |                     |
|   | protocols must be exercised before the exams for better skill performance. (Activity Based Learning)                                    |                                      | 30/12/21              |                   |                  |                  |                     |
| 7. Procurement of further required instruments, equipment, and consumables for the designed specialty labs. | Purchased<br>consumables for the<br>designed specialty<br>labs and new camera<br>was installed in the<br>Motion analysis lab            | Lab<br>committee                     | August<br>2022        | Com<br>plete<br>d |                  |                  |                     |
| 8. Most of the<br>Course<br>Specifications<br>were revised<br>with updated<br>textbook<br>references        | Updated the Course<br>Specifications  | Academic<br>Affairs<br>Committee     | August<br>2022        | Com<br>plete<br>d |                  |                  |                     |
| 9. Effective<br>maintenance of<br>designed<br>specialty labs  | Periodic<br>maintenance of<br>designed specialty<br>labs  | Lab<br>Committee                     | August<br>2022        | Com<br>plete<br>d |                  |                  |                     |

| 10. Enhance research funding for the College  | Acquire research grants from national and international funding agencies  | Scientific<br>Research<br>committee | August<br>2022 | Com<br>plete<br>d |  |  |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 11. Promote a culture of undertaking various interdisciplinar y research projects             | Research partnership between the various departments within or outside the college  | Scientific<br>Research<br>committee | August<br>2022 | Com<br>plete<br>d |  |  |
| 12. Linking scientific research to the needs and problems of the local and national community | Provide assistance<br>and support to<br>members to<br>participate in<br>scientific events at<br>local and national<br>level | Scientific<br>Research<br>committee | August<br>2022 | Com<br>plete<br>d |  |  |

# **B. Program Statistics**

## **1. Students Statistics** (in the year concerned)

| Item   | Results   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Number of students enrolled at all levels of the program                         |   |  |  |  |
| Number of students who started the program                                       | 28  |  |  |  |
| Number of students who graduated   |   |  |  |  |
| Number of students who completed major tracks within the program (if applicable) |   |  |  |  |
| a. Sport physical therapy  | 3   |  |  |  |
| b. Neurological physical therapy   | 7   |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |
| Number of students who completed an intermediate award specified as an early     | NA  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of students enrolled at all levels of the program  Number of students who started the program  Number of students who graduated  Number of students who completed major tracks within the program (if applicable)  a. Sport physical therapy  b. Neurological physical therapy |  |  |  |

#### 2. Analysis of Program Statistics

(including strengths, areas for improvement, and priorities for improvement)

#### **Strengths:**

- The master of science in physical therapy program offers four tracks for achieving the many disciplines in physical therapy for students. And the needs of society.
- There is a gradual increase in the number of students enrolled in the program
- The number of female students were increased

#### **Areas for Improvement:**

• The number of graduated students in the minimum time of the program were less

#### **Priorities for Improvement:**

• Advise and guide students to apply for the proposal of the master thesis early so that the number of graduated students in the minimum time of the program can be increased.

## **C. Program Learning Outcomes Assessment**

1. Program Learning Outcomes Assessment Results.

| #   | Program Learning Outcomes   | Assessment Methods (Direct and Indirect) | Performance<br>Target | Results |  |  |  |
|-----|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Kno | Knowledge and Understanding |  |                       |         |  |  |  |

| #     | <b>Program Learning Outcomes</b>   | Assessment Methods (Direct and Indirect)   | Performance<br>Target | Results |
|-------|--|--|-----------------------|---------|
| K1    | The student will <b>acquire</b> a comprehensive and well-founded knowledge of biomedical sciences relevant to human health and function within the context of physiotherapy.               | Direct Assessment of<br>student's<br>achievements<br>including written &<br>Oral exams, quizzes  | 70%                   | 95%     |
| K2    | The student will <b>recognize</b> the contemporary issues based on the understanding of theoretical concepts and principles relevant to physiotherapy for an evidence-based practice       | etc. • Surveys & other indirect Indicators.  | 70%                   | 91%     |
| Skill | S  |  |                       |         |
| S1    | The student will develop the ability to critically <b>evaluate</b> and effectively apply evidence as a basis for physiotherapy practice.   | • Direct Assessment of student's achievements including exams, long  | 70%                   | 93%     |
| S2    | The student will <b>integrate</b> the available scientific evidence to determine the effectiveness of interventions and to contribute to the body of knowledge in physiotherapy.           | and short essays, logbooks, analytical reports, case studies, video analysis, group reports, lab reports, peer evaluations, videos, graphs, viva etc. • Surveys & other indirect Indicators.                       | 70%                   | 90%     |
| S3    | The student will <b>demonstrate</b> clinical skills in physiotherapy diagnosis, prognosis, evaluation, treatment planning, and implementation across health care settings                  | <ul> <li>Direct Assessment of student's achievements case presentation, logbooks, analytical reports, case studies, video analysis, group reports etc.</li> <li>Surveys &amp; other indirect Indicators</li> </ul> | 70%                   | 95%     |
| S4    | The students will <b>present</b> a clear and coherent exposition of knowledge and ideas using variety of communication mediums, including verbal and written communication, and technology | <ul> <li>Direct Assessment of student's achievements case presentation, assignments, project report, interviews etc.</li> <li>Surveys &amp; other indirect Indicators</li> </ul>                                   | 70%                   | 93%     |
| Valu  |  |  | 1                     |         |
| V1    | The student will consistently <b>display</b> the commitment to society through advocacy and advancement of the physical therapy profession   | • Direct Assessment of student's achievements case presentation, peer  | 70%                   | 91%     |
| V2    | The student will <b>collaborate</b> in a professional, ethical, legal, and compassionate manner to optimize health care delivery   | evaluations, attitudes & value assessed through various rubrics, extracurricular   | 70%                   | 97%     |

| # | Program Learning Outcomes | Assessment Methods (Direct and Indirect) | Performance<br>Target | Results |
|---|---------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------|
|   |                           | activity reports,                        |                       |         |
|   |                           | interviews etc                           |                       |         |
|   |                           | • Surveys & other                        |                       |         |
|   |                           | indirect Indicators.                     |                       |         |

#### **Comments on the Program Learning Outcomes Assessment Results.**

- The achievement of all outcomes is satisfactory.
- The student performance percentage on these PLOs are satisfactory. Additionally, in the upcoming years, efforts will be made to maintain and boost performance.
- The courses and clinical practice manual will be updated with the recent development in the field of physiotherapy
- The student's performance will be improved in the upcoming years through expanding clinical exposure.
- Students need to improve in professional relationship with the client and society.
- \* Include the results of measured learning outcomes during the year of the report according to the program plan for measuring learning outcomes
- \*\* Attach a separate report on the program learning outcomes assessment results for male and female sections and for each branch (if any)

#### 2. Analysis of Program Learning Outcomes Assessment

(including strengths, Areas for Improvement:, and priorities for improvement)

#### **Strengths:**

- The majority of the students had a good learning experience with all outcomes, reflecting that the students were very well acquainted with the knowledge and skills of the courses.
- The students were able to acquire a comprehensive and well-founded knowledge of courses relevant to human health and function within the context of physiotherapy.
- The vast majority of students were able to collaborate in a professional, ethical, legal, and compassionate manner to optimize health care delivery.
- Most of the students were able to demonstrate clinical skills in physiotherapy diagnosis, prognosis, evaluation, treatment planning, and implementation across health care settings.

#### **Areas for Improvement:**

- The efforts will be made to maintain the performance in the coming years as well
- The courses and clinical practice manual will be updated with the recent development in the field of physiotherapy
- Students need to improve in a professional relationship with the client and society.
- Students need to recognize the contemporary issues based on the understanding of theoretical concepts and principles relevant to physiotherapy for an evidence-based practice

#### **Priorities for Improvement:**

- More clinical exposure to the students will further improve the outcome.
- More decision-making opportunities for real professional problems should be given to the students.
- Increasing the proportion of case based learning and moving towards active learning process will further improve the outcome.

# **D. Summary of Course Reports**

## 1. Teaching of Planned Courses / Units

List the courses / units that were planned and not taught during the academic year, indicating the reasons and compensating actions.

| compensating actions |                                    |         |                             |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| Course               | Units/Topics                       | Reasons | <b>Compensating Actions</b> |
| MPT 610              | All the planned units were covered | NA      | NA                          |
| MPT 611              | All the planned units were covered | NA      | NA                          |
| MPT 612              | All the planned units were covered | NA      | NA                          |
| MPT 613              | All the planned units were covered | NA      | NA                          |
| MPT 614              | All the planned units were covered | NA      | NA                          |
| MPT 620              | All the planned units were covered | NA      | NA                          |
| MPT 621              | All the planned units were covered | NA      | NA                          |
| MPT 624              | All the planned units were covered | NA      | NA                          |
| MPT 625              | All the planned units were covered | NA      | NA                          |
| MPT 638              | All the planned units were covered | NA      | NA                          |
| MPT 639              | All the planned units were covered | NA      | NA                          |

#### 2. Courses with Variations

List courses with marked variations in results that are stated in the course reports, including: (completion rate, grade distribution, student results, etc.), and giving reasons for these variations and actions taken for improvement. Table 1: Significant Result of Variation for 1st Semester 1442-43H – (Female)

| Course Name variation & Code |  | Reasons for variation              | Actions taken             |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                              |  | Nine students were enrolled, and   |                           |
| Medical                      |  | eight appeared in the exam in this | An independent review     |
| Screening and                |  | section. It was noted that two     | of grade verification and |

| Differential | 12% variations in | students had secured A+, three                                      | the difficulty index of                         |
|--------------|-------------------|---|---|
| Diagnosis    | High grades       | secured A, and three secured B+.                                    | questions were analyzed.                        |
| 8            | 8 8               | The students have performed   | Teaching strategies and                         |
| MPT610       |                   | excellently in theory and practical                                 | assessment tools have                           |
| 1010         |                   | exams. Moreover, most students in                                   | been reviewed.                                  |
|              |                   | · ·   | been reviewed.                                  |
|              |                   | this section have good GPAs, and                                    |   |
|              |                   | the result skewed toward higher                                     |   |
|              |                   | grades of A+ and A.   |   |
| Professional |                   | Nine students were enrolled, and                                    |   |
| Issues in    | 2504              | eight appeared in the exam in this                                  | An independent review                           |
| Physical     | 25% variations in | section. It was noted that three                                    | of grade verification and                       |
| therapy      | High grades       | students had secured A+, two  | the difficulty index of                         |
| MPT611       |                   | secured A, two secured B+, and one B. The students performed        | questions were analyzed.                        |
| MIPTOTT      |                   | B. The students performed excellently in theory and practical       | Teaching strategies and                         |
|              |                   | exams. Moreover, most students in                                   | assessment tools have                           |
|              |                   | this section have good GPAs, and                                    | been reviewed.                                  |
|              |                   | the result skewed toward higher                                     |   |
|              |                   | grades.   |   |
| Research for |                   | Nine students were enrolled, and                                    | An independent review                           |
| Physical     | 50% variations in | eight appeared in the exam in this                                  | of grade verification and                       |
| therapist    | High grades       | section. It was noted that five                                     | the difficulty index of                         |
|              |                   | students had secured A+, two  | questions were analyzed.                        |
| MPT612       |                   | secured A, and one secured B+.                                      | Teaching strategies and                         |
|              |                   | The students performed excellently                                  | assessment tools have                           |
|              |                   | in theory exams. Moreover, most                                     | been reviewed.                                  |
|              |                   | students in this section have good                                  |   |
|              |                   | GPAs, and the result skewed   |   |
|              |                   | •   |   |
| A 1 1        | 12.50/            | toward higher grades.   | A 1 1   |
| Advanced     | 12.5% variations  | Nine students were enrolled, and eight appeared in the exam in this | An independent review of grade verification and |
| Statistical  | in High grades    | section. It was noted that three                                    | the difficulty index of                         |
| Procedures   |                   | students had secured A, three                                       | questions were analyzed.                        |
|              |                   | secured B+, and two secured B.                                      | Teaching strategies and                         |
| MPT 613      |                   | The students performed excellently                                  | assessment tools have                           |
|              |                   | in theory exams. Moreover, most                                     | been reviewed.                                  |
|              |                   | students in this section have good                                  |   |
|              |                   | GPAs, and the result skewed   |   |
|              |                   | toward higher grades.   |   |
| 1            | 37.5% variations  | Nine students were enrolled, and                                    | An independent review                           |
| Advanced     | in High grades    | eight appeared in the exam in this                                  | of grade verification and                       |
| Biomechanics |                   | section. It was noted that four                                     | the difficulty index of                         |
| MPT614       |                   | students had secured A+, three                                      | questions were analyzed.                        |
|              |                   | secured A, and one secured B+. The students performed excellently   | Teaching strategies and assessment tools have   |
|              |                   | in theory exams. Moreover, most                                     | been reviewed.                                  |
|              |                   | students in this section have good                                  | been to vie wed.                                |
|              |                   | GPAs, and the result skewed   |   |
|              |                   | toward higher grades.   |   |
|              | L                 | toward inglier grades.  | L   |

**Table 2: Significant Result of Variation for 1st Semester 1442-43H – (Male)** 

| Course Name  | variation                          | Reasons for variation   | Actions taken   |
|--|------------------------------------|---|---|
| &Code  |                                    |   |   |
| Medical Screening and Differential Diagnosis MPT610        | 8.7% variations in High grades     | Twenty-seven students were enrolled, and twenty-three appeared in the exam in this section and four students withdrawn. It was noted that two students had secured A+, five secured A, four secured B+, three B, three secured C+ and six secured C. The students have performed excellently in theory and practical exams. Moreover, most students in this section have good GPAs, and the result skewed toward higher grades of A+, A and B+. | An independent review of grade verification and the difficulty index of questions were analyzed. Teaching strategies and assessment tools have been reviewed. |
| Professional<br>Issues in<br>Physical<br>therapy<br>MPT611 | 13% variations in<br>High grades   | Twenty-seven students were enrolled, and twenty-three appeared in the exam in this section and four students withdrawn. It was noted that six students had secured A+, six secured A, two secured B+, two B, two secured C+ and two secured C and three F. The students have performed excellently in theory. Moreover, most students in this section have good GPAs, and the result skewed toward higher grades of A+, and A.                  | An independent review of grade verification and the difficulty index of questions were analyzed. Teaching strategies and assessment tools have been reviewed. |
| Research for<br>Physical<br>therapist<br>MPT612            | 21.7% variations<br>in High grades | Twenty-seven students were enrolled, and twenty-three appeared in the exam in this section and four students withdrawn. It was noted that eight students had secured A+, five secured A, two secured B+, two B, three secured C+ and three secured C. The students performed excellently in theory. Moreover, most students in this section have good GPAs, and the result skewed toward higher grades of A+, and A.                            | An independent review of grade verification and the difficulty index of questions were analyzed. Teaching strategies and assessment tools have been reviewed. |
| Advanced<br>Statistical<br>Procedures                      | 12.5% variations in High grades    | Twenty-seven students were enrolled, and twenty-three appeared in the exam in this section  | An independent review of grade verification and the difficulty index of   |

| MPT 613                            |                                 | and four students withdrawn. It was noted that one student had secured A+, three secured A, two secured B+, eight secured B, three secured C+ and six secured C. The students have performed well in theory. Moreover, most students in this section have good GPAs, and the result skewed toward grades of B, A+, and A.   | questions were analyzed. Teaching strategies and assessment tools have been reviewed.   |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Advanced<br>Biomechanics<br>MPT614 | 55.7% variations in High grades | Twenty-seven students were enrolled, and twenty-three appeared in the exam in this section and four students withdrawn. It was noted that fourteen students had secured A+, eight secured A and one secured B+. The students have performed well in theory. Moreover, most students in this section have good GPAs, and the result skewed toward grades of A+, and A. | An independent review of grade verification and the difficulty index of questions were analyzed. Teaching strategies and assessment tools have been reviewed. |

Table 3: Significant Result of Variation for 2nd Semester 1442-41H – (Female section)

| Course Name &Code   | variation                       | Reasons for variation  | Actions taken   |
|---|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Science of<br>Performance &<br>Injury in Sports<br>MPT620 | 0.0 % variations in High grades | Three students were enrolled, and all appeared in the exam in this section. It was noted that two students had secured A+, one secured an A. The students performed excellently in theory exams.                 | An independent review of grade verification and the difficulty index of questions were analyzed. Teaching strategies and assessment tools have been reviewed. |
| Motor Control<br>in Health &<br>Disease<br>MPT621         | 0.0 % variations in High grades | Five students were enrolled, and all appeared in the exam in this section. It was noted that all students had secured an A+. The students performed excellently in theory exams.                                 | An independent review of grade verification and the difficulty index of questions were analyzed. Teaching strategies and assessment tools have been reviewed. |
| Assessment &<br>Treatment of<br>Sports Injury<br>MPT624   | 0.0 variations in grades        | Three students were enrolled, and all appeared in the exam in this section. It was noted that one student had secured A+, one secured A, and one secured B+. The students performed excellently in theory exams. | An independent review of grade verification and the difficulty index of questions were analyzed. Teaching strategies and assessment tools have been reviewed. |

| Clinical       | 20% variations in | Five students were enrolled, and all |                           |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Neurosciences  | High grades       | appeared in the exam in this         | of grade verification and |
| for            |                   | section. It was noted that three     | the difficulty index of   |
| Rehabilitation |                   | students had secured A+, and two     | questions were analyzed.  |
| MPT625         |                   | secured A. The students performed    | Teaching strategies and   |
|                |                   | <u> </u>                             | assessment tools have     |
|                |                   | excellently in theory exams.         | been reviewed.            |

Table 4: Significant Result of Variation for 2nd Semester~1442-41H-(Male section)

| Course Name<br>&Code   | variation                         | Reasons for variation   | Actions taken   |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Science of<br>Performance &<br>Injury in Sports<br>MPT620    | 12.5 % variations in High grades  | Seventeen students were enrolled, and sixteen appeared in the exam in this section. It was noted that six students had secured A+, six secured A and four secured B+. The students performed excellently in theory exams.         | An independent review of grade verification and the difficulty index of questions were analyzed. Teaching strategies and assessment tools have been reviewed. |
| Motor Control<br>in Health &<br>Disease<br>MPT621            | 20 % variations in<br>High grades | Six students were enrolled, and five appeared in the exam in this section. It was noted that two students had secured A+, two B and one secured C. The students performed excellently in theory exams.                            | An independent review of grade verification and the difficulty index of questions were analyzed. Teaching strategies and assessment tools have been reviewed. |
| Assessment & Treatment of Sports Injury MPT624               | 50% variations in<br>High grades. | Seventeen students were enrolled, and sixteen appeared in the exam in this section. It was noted that nine students had secured A+, four secured A, two secured B+ and one B. The students performed excellently in theory exams. | An independent review of grade verification and the difficulty index of questions were analyzed. Teaching strategies and assessment tools have been reviewed. |
| Clinical<br>Neurosciences<br>for<br>Rehabilitation<br>MPT625 | 20% variations in<br>High grades  | Six students were enrolled, and five appeared in the exam in this section. It was noted that two students had secured A+, and two secured B+ and one secured B. The students performed excellently in theory exams.               | An independent review of grade verification and the difficulty index of questions were analyzed. Teaching strategies and assessment tools have been reviewed. |

#### 3. Analysis of Course Reports' Results

(Including strengths, Areas for Improvement, and priorities for improvement)

#### **Strengths:**

- There was enough opportunity at this institution for the student to obtain advice on their studies and future career.
- Students were aware that their study program stimulated their interest in further learning.
- This curriculum has improved their ability to investigate and solve new and unusual problems.
- Faculties of the department of physical therapy were fair in handling the students.

#### **Areas for Improvement:**

- Seminar and teaching activities must be improved.
- We can incorporate more group activities in this course to improve the skills for teamwork.
- The students should be trained to face more clinical vignette types of questions.
- Presentation of case studies and essential clinical topics should be included in assessment methods.

#### **Priorities for Improvement:**

• Students should be involved in teaching and clinical teaching to bachelor students for their teaching skills.

## E. Program Activities

1. Student Counseling and Support

| 20 State of the St |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Activities Implemented   | Brief Description*   |  |  |  |  |
| New Students Orientation   | The newly enrolled students at Majmaah university will participate in an   |  |  |  |  |
| program  | orientation course regarding the university's facilities.                  |  |  |  |  |
| Students Advisory  | Students enrolled in the master's program are assigned faculty members for |  |  |  |  |
|  | academic guidance and assistance.  |  |  |  |  |
| Comment on Student Counseling and Support **   |  |  |  |  |  |
| None   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup> including action time, number of participants, results and any other statistics.

2. Professional Development Activities for Faculty and Supporting Staff

| A -41-241 II   | Number of participants |                     | Duiof Dogovinskion*  |  |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Activities Implemented   | Faculty                | Supporting<br>Staff | Brief Description*   |  |
| Weekly faculty development   | 18                     | 5                   | Faculty members voluntarily prepare and  |  |
| program  | <u> </u>               |                     | deliver presentations on topics of interest.   |  |
| Participation in national and international conferences                | 4                      | -                   | Faculty members are encouraged to participate in the national and international conferences  |  |
| Encourage faulty members to obtain license to practice in the hospital | 4                      | -                   | Faculty members are encouraged to obtain a license to practice in hospitals. Faculty members with valid licenses who dedicate a day to clinical practice are compensated with clinical allowances. |  |

Comment on Professional Development Activities for Faculty and Other Supporting Staff \*\*

Faculty development programs are conducted every Sunday of the week by the Training Unit, Vice Deanship of Quality & Skills Development.

<sup>\*\*</sup> including performance evaluation on these activities

<sup>\*</sup> including action time, number of participants, results and any other statistics.

<sup>\*\*</sup> including performance evaluation on these activities

#### 3. Scientific Research, Innovations and Inventions

a .Research Achievements (in the year concerned)

| Scientific Production Source   | Number of I | Participants   | Classification of  | Production   |
|--|-------------|----------------|--|--|
| (Research Group/Research Chair/Individual<br>Research/Research Project, etc.)                                  | Students    | Teaching Staff | Scientific Production (Research ,worksheets ,books ,inventions, etc.)                | Status (Published, accepted for publication, patented, etc.) |
| All the students are allowed<br>to submit a research proposal<br>in the beginning of third<br>level of program | 27          | -              | Approved Students research proposal undergo ethical evaluation and possible approval | 2  |
| Total  | 27          |                |  | 2  |

#### **Comment on Research Achievements**

- As compared to the previous year's one research publication, four masters' students have published their thesis in the high impact factor journal.
- More students are encouraged to publish their thesis in the high-impact factor journals.
- Before publication, students are provided with free English editing services.
- The article processing fee and basic research facilities are provided.

**b** .Theses(approved during in the year concerned)

| S.No | Thesis Title   | Researcher's Name                     | Supervisor's Name                      | Grade            |
|------|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------|
| 1    | The Effect of Family support<br>during Physical therapy<br>Sessions on the Outcomes of<br>Patients with stroke.  |                                       |  | Not<br>completed |
| 2    | Knowledge and practice of physical therapists in Saudi Arabia towards Bobath approach treatment for patients with stroke.  | Faisal Saadoun<br>Farhan Al-Anzi      | Dr. Mohammed Qutb<br>Seyam             | Completed        |
| 3    | The knowledge and practice of physical therapists in the Riyadh region towards the effectiveness of positioning for the management and preventions of pressure sores among patients with spinal cord injury. | Najla Faiz<br>Mohammed Al ,<br>Shahri | Dr. Mohammed<br>Qutb Seyam             | Not<br>completed |
| 4    | Awareness, training and practice pattern of physiotherapy intern in vestibular rehabilitation.   | Al-Safi Issa Moqbel<br>Al-Harbi       | Dr. Dana Bint<br>Mohammed Al-<br>Yahya | Not<br>completed |
| 5    | Reliability and validity of<br>Robotic Devices in measuring<br>grip strength among patients  | Yara Male Marwa Al-<br>Otaibi         | Dr. Dana Bint<br>Mohammed Al-<br>Yahya | Not<br>completed |

<sup>\*</sup> Attach a research production statement of the faculty and students in the program including basic data such as (researcher's name, research title, publishing entity, publishing date, etc.)

<sup>\*\*</sup> In the case of multiple scientific production, the program lists the numbers for each classification individually (Example in individual research: if the total number is (10) in the case of production (6) published, and (4) acceptable for publication, etc.)

|    | with Thoracic Outlet<br>Syndrome.   |  |  |                  |
|----|---|--|--|------------------|
| 6  | To evaluate the effectiveness<br>of care therapy among patients<br>with rectus femoris spasticity<br>post-stroke                  | Samar Musfar Ghaleb<br>Al , Ghabeibi           | Dr. Dana Bint<br>Mohammed Al-<br>Yahya       | Not<br>completed |
| 7  | Knowledge of stroke risk factors and warning signs among Saudi Arabian population: Implications for Physical therapy              | Beshir Abdullah Leva<br>Al,Mutairi             | D. Mohammad Sharif<br>Sirajuddin             | Completed        |
| 8  | Comparing home-based rehabilitation with outpatient rehabilitation for patients with stroke.                                      | Raghad Samir Ali Al ,<br>Khadidi               | Dr. Abdulaziz bin<br>Abdullah Alkathiry      | Not<br>completed |
| 9  | The Effect of Motor<br>Relearning Program on<br>functional mobility in Stroke<br>Rehabilitation                                   | Khadija Abdulrahman<br>Mubarak al Faleh        | Dr. Shaikh Abdul<br>Rahim Qadir<br>Mohiuddin | Not<br>completed |
| 10 | The Effect of Mirror Therapy<br>on Upper Extremity Motor<br>Function in Stroke<br>Rehabilitation.                                 | Weam Okab Khalaf<br>Al Salem                   | Dr. Shaikh Abdul<br>Rahim Qadir<br>Mohiuddin | Not<br>completed |
| 11 | The Effect of Modified<br>Constraint Induced Movement<br>Therapy on Upper Extremity<br>Function in Stroke<br>Rehabilitation       | Abdulrahman<br>Mohammed Ali<br>Shahar          | Dr. Shaikh Abdul<br>Rahim Qadir<br>Mohiuddin | Not<br>completed |
| 12 | The Effects of trunk exercises with hip strategy training to maximize independence level and balance for patient with stroke.     | Al- Anood Othman<br>bin Khalid Al-<br>Massoudi | Dr. Mohammed Qutb<br>Seyam                   | Not<br>completed |
| 13 | Effect of Supervised Versus Unsupervised Strength and Star Excursion Balance Training for Ambulatory Patient with Chronic Stroke. | Hassan Khaled<br>Hassan Nahar                  | Dr. Shahnaz Hassan                           | Not<br>completed |
| 14 | The knowledge of physical therapists about managing the modifiable risk factors of stroke in Saudi Arabia.                        | Badr Ali Suleiman Al<br>, Hanaki               | Dr. Shaikh Abdul<br>Rahim Qadir              | Not<br>completed |
| 15 | Facilitating Home-based exercise for people with stroke in Saudi Arabia: Cross-   | Faisal Ali Yahya al,<br>Qahtani                | Dr. Mohammad<br>Sharif Sirajuddin            | Not<br>completed |

|    | Sectional e-Survey of Physical<br>Therapist Practice and<br>Perceived Needs   |   |  |                  |
|----|---|---|--|------------------|
| 16 | Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the Arabic version of the knee and hip health-related quality of life (Mini-OAKHQoL) questionnaire in Saudi patients with osteoarthritis. | Madi Talal                                | Dr. Mohammed Ateef<br>Gilani                 | Not<br>completed |
| 17 | Cross Cultural adaptation and Validation of Arabic Version of Rivermead Mobility Index for patients with Stroke.  | Haifa Mohamed Saad<br>Al, Adwani          | Dr. Mohammed Qutb<br>Seyam                   | Not<br>completed |
| 18 | Prevalence of Knee Injuries<br>among Male Football Players<br>in Saudi Arabia.  | Latifa Fahd Saleh al,<br>Mansour          | Dr. Walaa Sayed<br>Mohammed                  | Not<br>completed |
| 19 | Effectiveness of Pilates training on upper extremity strength, agility and coordination skills among aspiring volleyball players in Saudi Arabia                                      | Abdulaziz<br>Mohammed Manaa<br>al-Mutairi | Dr. Mohamed Miraj<br>Molla                   | Not<br>completed |
| 20 | Prevalence and risk factor of knee injuries among amateur player in Saudi Arabia.   | Ibrahim Issa Jaber Al-<br>Fifi            | Dr. Msaad bin<br>Mohammed Ali Al-<br>Zahrani | Not<br>completed |
| 21 | Prevalence and Factors Associated with Musculoskeletal Disorders Among people in Riyadh City, Saudi Arabia  | Fahd Ghazi Mohsen<br>al, Harbi            | Dr. Msaad bin<br>Mohammed Ali Al-<br>Zahrani | Not<br>completed |
| 22 | Cross-Cultural Adaptation and<br>Arabic Translation of The<br>Rivermead Post-Concussion<br>Symptoms Questionnaire   | Qasim Abdo Qasim<br>Al , Sharif           | Dr. Msaad bin<br>Mohammed Ali Al-<br>Zahrani | Not<br>completed |
| 23 | Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the Arabic version of the Foot and Ankle Ability Measure in patient with foot and ankle injury  | Maram Abdullah<br>Mohammed Friday         | Dr. Msaad bin<br>Mohammed Ali Al-<br>Zahrani | Not<br>Completed |
| 24 | A qualitative study regarding Saudi patients satisfaction with Physiotherapy management of chronic Low Back pain  | Bandar Zayed Jubeer<br>Almutari           | Dr. Mohammmad<br>Miraj                       | Not<br>Completed |

| 25 | Arabic Translation and validation of the stroke rehabilitation assessment of movement (STREAM)                   | Zaid Mousa<br>Almuwaysi    | Dr. Mohammad<br>Seyam  | Not<br>completed |
|----|--|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 26 | Sport physiotherapists<br>attitudes and beliefs,<br>knowledge and practice of<br>concussion in Saudi Arabia      | Mohamad Abdullah<br>Alamri | Dr. Ahmad<br>Almansour | Not<br>completed |
| 27 | The relationships between kinesiophobia and functional performance in athletes with history of shoulder injuries | Rakan Abdullah<br>Alwabil  | Dr. Ahmad<br>Almansour | Not<br>completed |

#### **Comment on Theses**

Two students have completed the thesis and defense. Other students are in the process of writing their thesis.

#### 4. Analysis of Program Activities

(Including strengths, Areas for Improvement, and priorities for improvement)

#### **Strengths:**

- The program has appropriate policies and procedures to carry out the research project
- The majority of the students have completed the thesis proposals

#### **Areas for Improvement:**

- To obtain more publications from the master theses.
- To encourage students to participate in conferences

#### **Priorities for Improvement:**

- To increase master thesis publications
- To encourage students to participate in workshops and conferences nationally and internationally

# F. Program Evaluation

#### 1. Evaluation of Courses

| Course<br>Code | Course Title                                 | Student<br>Evaluation<br>( Yes-No) | Other<br>Evaluations<br>(Specify) | Developmental<br>Recommendations  |
|----------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| MPT 610        | Medical Screening and Differential Diagnosis | Yes                                | None                              | A faculty member has been informed to discuss all the survey items in the course report |
| MPT 611        | Professional Issues in<br>Physical therapy   | Yes                                | None                              | A faculty member has been informed to discuss all the survey items in the course report |
| MPT 612        | Research for Physical therapist              | Yes                                | None                              | A faculty member has been informed to discuss all the survey items in the course report |

| Course<br>Code | Course Title                       | Student<br>Evaluation<br>( Yes-No) | Other<br>Evaluations<br>(Specify) | Developmental<br>Recommendations  |
|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| MPT 613        | Advanced Statistical<br>Procedures | Yes                                | None                              | A faculty member has been informed to discuss all the survey items in the course report |
| MPT 614        | Advanced Biomechanics              | Yes                                | None                              | A faculty member has been informed to discuss all the survey items in the course report |
| MPT 630        | Sports & Exercise<br>Participation | Yes                                | None                              | A faculty member has been informed to discuss all the survey items in the course report |
| MPT 631        | Neurological<br>Rehabilitation     | Yes                                | None                              | A faculty member has been informed to discuss all the survey items in the course report |
| MPT 634        | Sports & Nutrition                 | Yes                                | None                              | A faculty member has been informed to discuss all the survey items in the course report |
| MPT 635        | Falls and Balance<br>Dysfunction   | Yes                                | None                              | A faculty member has been informed to discuss all the survey items in the course report |
| MPT 638        | Research Proposal                  | Yes                                | None                              | A faculty member has been informed to discuss all the survey items in the course report |
| MPT 639        | Advanced Clinical<br>Practice 2    | Yes                                | None                              | A faculty member has been informed to discuss all the survey items in the course report |

# 2. Evaluation of Scientific Supervision

# a. Students Evaluation of Scientific Supervision

| Evaluation Date : April 2021   | Number of Participants: 17<br>(M=10 + F=7)  |
|--|---|
| Students Feedback  | Program Response  |
| <ul> <li>Strengths:</li> <li>Thesis supervisor/s has the strength to provide additional information relevant to topics of research projects.</li> <li>Various workshops have served to develop problemsolving skills.</li> <li>Learning and Teaching facilities have made students to successfully complete their thesis.</li> </ul> | This area will be identified as strength point in the program evaluation  |
| <ul> <li>Areas for Improvement:</li> <li>Early initiation of thesis work to complete it on time.</li> <li>Financial support should be provided for research activities if the projects are eligible.</li> </ul>  | The points for improvement have been discussed in the department council and it was decided to consider this in the annual improvement plan |

• The department must collaborate with other public health institutions to provide better exposure to the master's level students to perform more productive research.

## **Suggestions for improvement:**

- Data base of all the previous thesis titles must be provided to students through a link so that the students could minimize the time through early decision-making of their work and so that could avoid the duplication of the work.
- The department must explore the ongoing national research projects through the health ministry so that the students can be a part of the research project and complete their thesis.
- Students must be encouraged to attend national and international conferences periodically to find out the research gaps available which helps to formulate research questions.

The Suggestions for improvement have been discussed in the department council and it was decided to consider this in the

## b. Other Evaluation of Scientific Supervision

| Evaluation method: NONE      | Date:       |  | Number of Participants: |
|------------------------------|-------------|--|-------------------------|
| Summary of Evaluator         | r(s) Review |  | Program Response        |
| Strengths:                   |             |  |                         |
| None                         |             |  |                         |
| Points for Improvements:     |             |  |                         |
| None                         |             |  |                         |
| Suggestions for improvement: |             |  |                         |
| None                         |             |  |                         |

# 3. Students Evaluation of Program Quality

| Evaluation Date: November 2021   | Number of Participants: 14<br>(M=9 + F=5)   |
|--|---|
| Students Feedback  | Program Response  |
| <ul> <li>Strengths:</li> <li>An initial orientation program for new students was given by the employer and the teaching faculty.</li> <li>Learning Resources and Facilities lecture rooms and laboratories are attractive and comfortable.</li> <li>The functionality of the central library and its convenient timings.</li> <li>The helpful teachers and Encouraging students to develop their study skills for a bright future</li> </ul> | This area will be identified as strength point in the program evaluation  |
| <ul> <li>Areas for Improvement:</li> <li>Frequency of orientation programs should be increased.</li> <li>Procurement of further required instruments, equipment, and consumables for the designed specialty labs.</li> <li>Advanced labs if any proposed by the faculty.</li> <li>Separate space for female religious observances to be allocated.</li> <li>Sports &amp; recreational activities must be facilitated.</li> </ul>             | The points for improvement have been discussed in the department council and it was decided to consider this in the annual improvement plan |
| <ul><li>Suggestions for improvement:</li><li>Lack of well-defined area for offering prayers especially for females.</li></ul>  | The Suggestions for improvement have been discussed in the department council   |

| • There is less space for recreational activities.      | and it was decided to consider this in the |
|---|--|
| • Frequency of orientation programs should be increased |  |

<sup>\*</sup> Attach report on the students evaluation of program quality

#### **4. Other Evaluations** (if any)

(e.g. Evaluations by independent reviewer, program advisory committee, and stakeholders (e.g., faculty members, alumni, and employers)

| <b>Evaluation method:</b> Graduate Stakeholders Survey  | Date: Apr   | il 2021                | Number of Participants: 11<br>(M=7+F=4)  |  |
|---|---|------------------------|--|--|
| Summary of Evaluator(s) Review  |   | Program Response       |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Strengths:</li> <li>The program helped the students to develop end to continue to update new information in the field.</li> <li>Students have clearly understood that what in this program will be important for their fut.</li> <li>Students have developed communication skills.</li> <li>The practical courses were found to be developing his skills.</li> <li>Students have developed the ability to work effectively.</li> </ul> | These area will be identified as strength point in the program evaluation |                        |  |  |
| Points for Improvements:  • Less clinical hours   |   | discussed<br>was decid | ts for improvement have been I in the department council and it ded to consider this in the annual ment plan |  |
| <ul> <li>Suggestions for improvement:</li> <li>To create a suitable scientific environment student, paying attention to his problems academic environment.</li> <li>Students must be motivated to develop the investigate and solve new problems.</li> <li>Students must be motivated to believe what he in this program is important for their future.</li> </ul>  | faced in the e ability to   | been disc              | gestions for improvement have cussed in the department council as decided to consider this in the            |  |

<sup>\*</sup> Attach independent reviewer's report and stakeholders' survey reports ( if any)

# **5. Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)**

List the results of the program key performance indicators (including the key performance indicators required by the National Center for Academic Accreditation and evaluation)

| KPI code | Description   | Actual performance<br>level<br>1441-1442 H |         |             | Target perfor  | Internal referenc e      | Analysis  | New<br>target<br>perform |
|----------|---|--|---------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| KF1 code | Description   | Male                                       | Femal e | Over<br>all | mance<br>level | perform<br>ance<br>level |   | ance<br>level            |
| KPI-PG-1 | Percentage of achieved indicators of the program operational plan objectives  | 90%  | 90%     | 90%         | 88%            | 85%                      | Retained<br>the new<br>target of<br>91%               | 91%                      |
| MU-P-01  | Average rating of beneficiaries' satisfaction with the community services provided by the program on a five-level scale in an annual survey | 4.8  | 4.8     | 4.8         | 4.6            | 4.5                      | The target<br>performan<br>ce has<br>been<br>achieved | 4.7                      |

|           | T  |                   |                    |                    | ı                  |                    | · · ·   |                    |
|-----------|--|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------|
| KPI-PG-2  | Students' Evaluation of quality of learning experience in the program  | 4.7               | 4.5                | 4.6                | 4.5                | 4.4                | Retained<br>the new<br>target as<br>4.6                                 | 4.6                |
| KPI- PG-3 | Students' evaluation of the quality of the courses   | 3.9               | 3.8                | 3.9                | 4.3                | 4.2                | Retained<br>the new<br>target as<br>4.3                                 | 4.3                |
| KPI-PG-4  | Students' evaluation of the quality of scientific supervision  | 4.5               | 4.4                | 4.5                | 4.4                | 4.3                | Retained<br>the new<br>target as<br>4.5                                 | 4.5                |
| KPI-PG-5  | Average time for students' graduation  | 5<br>Semest<br>er | 5<br>Seme<br>sters | 5<br>Seme<br>sters | 4<br>Semest<br>ers | 5<br>Semeste<br>rs | Retained<br>the new<br>target as 2<br>years                             | 4<br>semester<br>s |
| KPI-PG-6  | Rate of students dropping out of the program   | 0%                | 0%                 | 0%                 | 0%                 | 0%                 | No<br>dropouts  | 0%                 |
| KPI-PG-7  | Graduates' employability   | 100%              | 100%               | 100%               | 75%                | 100%               | All were employed   | 80%                |
| KPI-PG-8  | Employers' evaluation of<br>the program graduates'<br>competency   | 4.5               | 4.4                | 4.5                | 4.5                | 4.4                | The target performan ce has been achieved                               | 4.6                |
| KPI-PG-9  | Students' satisfaction with the services provided  | 4.4               | 4.4                | 4.4                | 4.5                | 4.2                | Retained<br>the new<br>target as<br>4.5                                 | 4.5                |
| MU-P2     | The percentage of students who received a warning or more in the program to the total number of students in the program. | 0%                | 0%                 | 0%                 | 0%                 | 0%                 | None of<br>the<br>students<br>are issued<br>with<br>academic<br>warning | 0%                 |
| MU-P3     | The % of students who were denied entry to the final examination.  | 0%                | 0%                 | 0%                 | 0%                 | 0%                 | None of<br>the<br>students<br>were<br>denied<br>entry                   | 0%                 |
| MU-P4     | The number of student papers that have been published or presented in scientific conferences during the past year.       | 2                 | 0                  | 2                  | 3                  | 1                  | The program must plan to improve the student publication                | 3                  |
| KPI-PG-10 | Ratio of students to faculty members   | 6.1: 1            | 7:4:1              | 6:5:1              | 2.5: 1             | 2.6:1              | A good<br>student<br>faculty<br>ratio is<br>maintaine<br>d              | 5:1                |

|           | Percentage of faculty  | a. Academic<br>Ranking –<br>Assistant<br>Professor | 80%  | 80%  | 80%  | 90%   | 89%  | Program<br>has<br>experienc<br>ed<br>assistant<br>professors          | 75%  |
|-----------|--|--|------|------|------|-------|------|---|------|
| KPI-PG-11 | members' distributio n based on academic ranking   | b. Academic<br>Ranking –<br>Associate<br>Professor | 20%  | 20%  | 20%  | 23.5% | 15%  | Program<br>need to<br>recruit the<br>associate<br>professors          | 25%  |
|           | , and the second | c. Academic<br>Ranking –<br>Professor              | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 6.25% | 6.7% | Program<br>need to<br>recruit the<br>professors                       | 10%  |
| KPI-PG-12 | Proportion<br>members<br>program   | of faculty<br>leaving the                          | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 3%    | 5%   | Leaving proportio ns are less in the program                          | 3%   |
| KPI-PG-13 | Satisfaction of<br>beneficiaries with learning<br>resources  |  | 4.5  | 4.4  | 4.5  | 4.5   | 4.3  | The target performan ce has been achieved                             | 4.6  |
| KPI-PG-14 | Satisfaction of<br>beneficiaries with research<br>facilities and equipment   |  | 4.3  | 4.4  | 4.4  | 4.3   | 3    | The target performan ce has been achieved                             | 4.5  |
| KPI-PG-15 | Percentage of publications of faculty members  |  | 100% | 100% | 100% | 95%   | 90%  | Program must encourage the faculty to publish their research articles | 100% |
| KPI-PG-16 | Rate of pub<br>per faculty r   | lished research<br>member                          | 1:4  | 1:3  | 1:4  | 1.4   | 1:3  | The target has been achieved  | 1:5  |
| KPI-PG-17 | Citations rate in refereed journals per faculty member   |  | 45   | 26   | 38   | 18    | 13   | The target has been achieved  | 35   |
| KPI-PG-18 | Percentage publication   | of students'                                       | 10%  | 0%   | 10%  | 25%   | 6%   | The program must plan to improve the student publication              | 25%  |
| KPI-PG-19 | Number innovative awards of ex   | of patents, products, and scellence                | 1    | 0    | 1    | 2     | 1    | The program must plan to  | 2    |

|  |  |  | improve<br>the |  |
|--|--|--|----------------|--|
|  |  |  | patents &      |  |
|  |  |  | awards         |  |

Comments on the Program KPIs and Benchmarks Results:

The program has been benchmarked with Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, AlKharj.

## 6. Analysis of Program Evaluation

(including strengths, Areas for Improvement:, and priorities for improvement)

#### **Strengths:**

- 1. The program has achieved most KPIs' target benchmarks.
- 2. The key performance indicators used to assess services provided, and learning resources were satisfactorily achieved
- 3. The number of faculty member's research publication has increased when compared to last year

#### **Areas for Improvement:**

- 1. The program must act appropriately to improve the student publication
- 2. The program must respond appropriately to improve the number of patents
- 3. The program must respond appropriately to improve the number of Scientific innovations
- 4. The program must encourage the faculty members to participate in the excellence awards

#### **Priorities for Improvement:**

- 1. The students must be motivated to publish their theses in a high ranked journal
- 2. The program must prepare an action plan to improve the patents

G. Difficulties and Challenges Faced Program Management

| Difficulties and Challenges  | Implications on the Program   | Actions Taken   |
|--|---|---|
| Publication of the thesis in the high  | Affect the statistics of the  | The students were oriented                            |
| ranked journal   | program performance   | about research methodology.                           |
| Ethical approval process received by the Ministry of Health takes longer time.           | Time consumption would have affected to processed with the chosen thesis.   | The students were oriented about the measures of the  |
| Shortage in number of students that limit the ability to open all tracks in the program. | Limited tracks might have forced the students to choose a unfavorite track. | Oration program about the feasibility of the program. |

<sup>\*</sup>Internal and external difficulties and challenges

H. Program Improvement Plan

| No.  | Priorities for                                    | Actions  | Action Regneral   |               | ate            | Achievement   | Target    |  |
|------|---|--|---|---------------|----------------|---|-----------|--|
| 110. | Improvement                                       | Actions  | Responsi<br>bility  | Start         | End            | Indicators  | Benchmark |  |
| 1    | Increase in the proportion of case based learning | Provision of more clinical training facilities should be incorporated by more collaboration s with hospitals, rehabilitation | Academic<br>affair<br>committee<br>and<br>Clinical<br>training<br>committee | 15/1/<br>2022 | 15/07/<br>2023 | Employer Satisfaction above 80%  10% improvement in the average GPA of the students | 70%       |  |

|   |  | centers and  |  |                    |                |   | 1   |
|---|--|--|--|--------------------|----------------|---|-----|
|   |  | sports clubs   |  |                    |                |   |     |
| 2 | Moving towards<br>active learning<br>process   | Reduction in didactic lectures, preparing problem based learning sessions, facilitating students case presentation s and seminars thereby enhancing students participation   | Faculty<br>members<br>and<br>academic<br>affair<br>committee | 15/0<br>1/20<br>22 | 15/07/<br>2023 | Increase GPA<br>by 10%<br>Increase in<br>Student<br>satisfaction                              | 70% |
| 3 | Arranging specific faculty development programs (FDP) for skill development in active learning   | Orientating faculty members about the development in teaching and learning with more advanced modern methods in active learning, clinical based learning, research mentoring | Training<br>Committee  | 15/0<br>1/20<br>22 | 15/07/<br>2023 | Improvement<br>in Student<br>satisfaction   | 70% |
| 4 | Procurement of further required instruments, equipment, and consumables for the designed specialty labs.                                       | Frequent invitations should be sent to the faculty for the proposals of further required instruments, equipment, and consumables .   | Dept. Lab<br>Committe<br>e                                   | 1/09<br>/202<br>1  | 1/05//<br>2023 | Above 75% agreement on Student Experience Survey  | 80% |
| 5 | Frequent orientation programs on specialized labs should be conducted for the new students for their better acquaintance & enrichment of their | Request to<br>be sent to the<br>head of the<br>program   | Dept. Lab<br>Committe<br>e                                   | 1/09<br>/202<br>1  | 1/05//<br>2022 | Above 75% agreement on Scientific Supervision and Research Facilities Evaluation Survey (87%) | 90% |

|    | research<br>proposals/projects.  |   |   | <b></b>      |           | Report_1441-<br>42H  |     |
|----|--|---|---|--------------|-----------|--|-----|
| 6  | Formation of various research groups based on expertise and area of interest.            | Orienting the faculty members about various potential research groups.  | Research<br>committee                     | 1/9/<br>2022 | 1/5/20 23 | Above 80% of<br>the faculty<br>members take<br>part in research<br>groups.                                     | 80% |
| 7  | Collaboration with researchers at national and international levels.                     | Orienting faculty members about developing network with other national and international researchers using social platforms like research gate. | Research<br>committee                     | 1/9/2022     | 1/5/20 23 | Above 80% of the faculty members take part in research collaborations.   | 80% |
| 8  | Publish the research findings in the top-ranking journals.                               | Motivate the faculty members to Publish the research findings in the topranking journals.   | Research<br>committee                     | 1/9/<br>2022 | 1/5/20 23 | Above 80% of<br>the faculty<br>members<br>publish their<br>research<br>findings in top<br>ranking<br>journals. | 80% |
| 9  | Advanced labs if any proposed by the faculty   | Program<br>Coordinator  | A proposal<br>to be sent<br>to the<br>HOD | 1/9/<br>2022 | 1/5/20 23 | Above 80% agreement on Student Experience Survey   | 90% |
| 10 | Separate space for female religious observances to be allocated.                         | Program<br>Coordinator  | A proposal<br>to be sent<br>to the<br>HOD | 1/9/<br>2022 | 1/5/20 23 | Above 75% agreement on Student Experience Survey   | 90% |
| 11 | Effective maintenance of designed specialty labs by the lab members and lab technicians. | Inventory Equipment forms have been implemented along with the Inventory consumable forms for the   | Dept. Lab<br>Committe<br>e                | 1/9/<br>2022 | 1/5/20 23 | Above 75% agreement on Student Experience Survey (82%)   | 90% |

|    |   | effective<br>maintenance  |                                   |              |           |  |     |
|----|---|---|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--|-----|
| 12 | To increase the proportion of professors and Associate professors.            | To promote<br>the existing<br>faculty and<br>recruit new<br>faculty to<br>maintain the<br>proportion          | HOD                               | 1/9/2<br>022 | 1/5/20 23 | 20% Percentage of faculty members' distribution based on academic ranking-KPI- | 25% |
| 13 | Preparations for NCAAA audit  | Organizing repeated meetings involving all faculty members to be the part of the preparations for NCAAA audit | Quality<br>Assurance<br>committee | 1/9/2<br>022 | 1/5/20 23 | Above 75% agreement on Program Evaluation Survey                               | 75% |
| 14 | Review of Program self-study reports  | Formation of committees for each standards and practice peer review of other standards                        | Quality<br>Assurance<br>committee | 1/9/2<br>022 | 1/5/20 23 | Above 75% agreement on Program Evaluation Survey                               | 75% |
| 15 | Collection of<br>evidences for the<br>Standards in Self-<br>evaluation scales | Formation of committees to upload the required evidences and hyperlink  | Quality<br>Assurance<br>committee | 1/9/2<br>022 | 1/5/20 23 | Above 75% agreement on Program Evaluation Survey                               | 75% |
| 16 | Internal audit of quality documents.  | Physical verification of all documents and sharing the comments for improvement                               | Quality<br>Assurance<br>committee | 1/9/2<br>022 | 1/5/20 23 | Above 75% agreement on Program Evaluation Survey                               | 75% |

# I. Report Approving Authority

| Council / Committee | Department Council |  |  |
|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Reference No.       | Minutes No: 32     |  |  |
| Date                | 14.11.1443 H       |  |  |

# J. Attachments:

• A separate cohort analysis report for male and female sections and for each branch (if any)

- A report on the program learning outcomes assessment results for male and female sections and for each branch (if any)
- A research production statement of the faculty and students in the program including basic data such as (researcher's name, research title, publishing entity, publishing date, etc.)
- A report on the students evaluation of program quality
- Independent reviewer's report and other survey reports (if any)